Table 1 Values of  $\exp(A/d)$ 

A	d		
	2	4	6
0.50	1.284	1.133	1.087
0.4764	1.269	1.126	1.083
-0.282	0.868	0.932	0.954
-0.30	0.861	0.928	0.951
-0.50	0.779	0.882	0.920

It is clear that, because the index on the Reynolds number is small, this portion of the equation is not very sensitive either to the exact value of the index or the Reynolds number. Thus, in Eq. (1) for *Re* from 1 to 200, the value varies from 1 to 3.71; in Eq. (2), it varies from 1 to 2.33; and in Eq. (3), from 0.80 to 2.73. The exponential function is not particularly sensitive to the exact value of the constant given in Eqs. (1–3) for intersphere distances of 2–6, as shown in Table 1. Thus in Eq. (1) the value of the exponential factor is a little above unity, whereas in Eq. (3) it is a little below unity.

## III. Proposed New Form of the Equations

By using a spreadsheet to calculate values of Eqs. (1–3) for 2 < Re < 200 and  $2 < d_{12}$ ,  $d_{23} < 6$ , I found that a close match could be provided by the following equations, which give a better sense of the relationships involved:

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{C_{D,1}}{C_{Ds}} = 1 - 0.1 \frac{Re^{\frac{1}{4}}}{d_{12}} \exp\left(\frac{0.5}{d_{23}}\right)$$
 (4)

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{C_{\rm D,2}}{C_{\rm Ds}} = 1 - 0.14 Re^{\frac{1}{6}} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{d_{12}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{23}}} \right)$$
 (5)

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{C_{\text{D},3}}{C_{\text{Ds}}} = 1 - 0.5 \frac{Re^{\frac{1}{6}}}{\sqrt{d_{23}}} \exp\left(\frac{-0.5}{d_{12}}\right)$$
 (6)

Values calculated from Eqs. (4) and (5) differed from those calculated from Eqs. (1) and (2) by, at most, 0.3 and 3%, respectively. Equation (6) gave results within 10% of Eq. (3) up to Re = 100, rather more for extreme combinations of  $d_{12}$  and  $d_{23}$  at Re = 200. For example, at Re = 50,  $d_{12} = d_{23} = 4$ ; then, values from Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) are 0.925, 0.586, and 0.536, respectively; from Eqs. (4), (5), and (6), values are 0.925, 0.597, and 0.577, respectively. The above have been compared only with the curve-fitting equations of Ramachandran et al., not with their data. The constants proposed in this communication are to one or two significant figures. A better fit might be obtained by comparison with the original data, and a precision of two significant figures thereby justified.

## IV. Interpretation of New Equations

The form of the equations may be related to the theory of wakes produced by Moore<sup>2</sup> for bubbles (although the slip conditions are different from rigid spheres). According to Moore, there is a region to the rear of a bubble in which the vorticity from the boundary layer is transferred to the wake. This has a linear size dependent upon  $Re^{-1/6}$  and velocity difference from the potential flow dependent upon  $Re^{-1/3}$ . Moore comments that these powers may seem surprising, but seem to be attributable to the three-dimensional

nature of the flow. No such powers would arise for flow past a twodimensional bubble. A term  $f(Re^{1/4}/d)$  is derived by Harper<sup>3</sup> from Moore's theory for drag resulting from the wake between two or more bubbles.

Equations (4) and (6) both show the end sphere experiencing drag reduction (Re and d factor) attributable to the adjacent sphere, which is modified by the presence of a third sphere (exponential factor varies around unity). Equation (5) shows that the center sphere is affected by both of the others, the effect of the leading sphere being twice that of the trailing sphere for the same intersphere distances.

### References

<sup>1</sup>Ramachandran, R. S., Wang, T.-Y., Kleinstreuer, C., and Chiang, H., "Laminar Flow Past Three Closely Spaced Monodisperse Spheres or Nonevaporating Drops," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 1991, pp. 43–51.

<sup>2</sup>Moore, D. W., "The Boundary Layer on a Spherical Gas Bubble," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 16, 1963, pp. 161–176.

<sup>3</sup>Harper, J. F., "On Bubbles Rising in Line at Large Reynolds Numbers," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 41, No. 4, 1970, pp. 751–758.

# Reply to M. J. Pitt

C. Kleinstreuer\* North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7910

THE simplified drag equations for laminar flow past three closely spaced monodisperse spheres proposed by Pitt are appreciated because of their ease of use and formal interpretation for bubbly flows. Perhaps a limit of  $Re \leq 100$  should be suggested because of possible nonlinear error accumulation at higher Reynolds numbers and large particle spacings.

Drag and Nusselt number correlations extended to spherical and nonspherical droplets with mass transfer (i.e., blowing) may be found in Refs. 1–4.

#### References

<sup>1</sup>Chiang, H., and Kleinstreuer, C., "Computational Analysis of Interacting Vaporizing Fuel Droplets on a One-Dimensional Trajectory," *Combustion Science and Technology*, Vol. 86, 1992, pp. 289–309.

<sup>2</sup>Chiang, H., and Kleinstreuer, C., "Numerical Analysis of Variable-Fluid-Property Effects on the Convective Heat and Mass Transfer of Fuel Droplets," *Combustion and Flame*, Vol. 92, No. 4, 1993, pp. 459–464.

<sup>3</sup>Kleinstreuer, C., and Chiang, H., "Convection Heat Transfer of Closely-Spaced Spheres with Surface Blowing," Wärme und Stoffübertragung, Vol. 28, 1993, pp. 285–293.

<sup>4</sup>Comer, J. K., and Kleinstreuer, C., "A Numerical Investigation of Laminar Flow Past Non-Spherical Solids and Droplets," *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, Vol. 117, 1995, pp. 170–175.

Received Feb. 23, 1996; accepted for publication Feb. 23, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Professor, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department.